

Review Comment Form for Arts Teacher Creations of National Taiwan Normal University

Music Creation Instrumental (Vocal) Performance and Conducting ※Please print on both sides

Name		Review Position	<input type="checkbox"/> Professor <input type="checkbox"/> Associate Professor <input type="checkbox"/> Assistant Professor
Department/Institute		College	
Title of Representative Works:			
<p>Review Comments: (Including the representative works and reference achievements. Please specify the review comments in bullet points and in typewriting if possible. The content of the review comments should be no less than 300 words. Please also check the strengths and weaknesses boxes as well as the overall comment box.)</p> <p>(Please proceed to page 2 if more space is necessary)</p>			
<p>Strengths:</p> <p>Creation</p> <input type="checkbox"/> Full of creativity <input type="checkbox"/> Good technique <input type="checkbox"/> New research insights <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent results from past creations <input type="checkbox"/> High artistic merit Other: _____ <p>Instrumental (Vocal) Performance and Conducting</p> <input type="checkbox"/> Representative repertoire <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent performing technique <input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent past performance <input type="checkbox"/> Great artistry <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		<p>Weaknesses:</p> <p>Creation</p> <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of creativity <input type="checkbox"/> Inferior technical display <input type="checkbox"/> Indistinct ideas <input type="checkbox"/> Poor results from past creations <input type="checkbox"/> Little artistic merit <input type="checkbox"/> Suspected of plagiarism (specify facts in the review comment box) <input type="checkbox"/> Violation of academic ethics <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <p>Instrumental (Vocal) Performance and Conducting</p> <input type="checkbox"/> Repertoire lacking in depth <input type="checkbox"/> Technical shortcomings <input type="checkbox"/> Unremarkable interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of artistry <input type="checkbox"/> Suspected of plagiarism (specify facts in the review comment box) <input type="checkbox"/> Violation of academic ethics <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	

Overall Comments: (required)

The research outcomes of the applicant among professors of the same level in the same field of study	A (Outstanding)	B (Good)	C (Satisfactory)	D (Unsatisfactory)
Please select				

Signature of the Reviewer: _____ Date: MM/DD/YYYY (See the back for remarks)

Review Comments: (continue from the previous page)

※ **Remarks of Work Review:**

I. Review criteria:

- (I) Professor: A teacher who has produced unique works on a continuous basis and made a major and substantive contribution to their field.
- (II) Associate Professor: A teacher who has produced works on a continuous basis and made a substantive contribution to their field.
- (III) Assistant Professor: A teacher who has produced works that meet the standards of a doctorate holder.
- (IV) Lecturer: A teacher who has produced works that meet the standards of a Master of Arts.

- II. "Specific achievements between the current application level and the previous level and within 7 years" includes representative works (within 5 years and the previous level). Applicants who have been pregnant or have given birth during the aforementioned period may apply for a two-year extension to the year limits for the representative works and the

reference achievements.

- III. The grading system for external review is comprised of four grades: A (Excellent), B (Good), C (Satisfactory), and D (Unsatisfactory). Reviewers shall rate the applicant based on the research performance of teachers of the same level in the same field of study.
- IV. External Review Passing Grades: Those who are being reviewed by 3 scholars and experts must receive a B or higher grade from 2 reviewers. Those who are being reviewed by 5 scholars and experts must receive a B or higher grade from 4 reviewers. Those who are being reviewed by 7 scholars and experts must receive a B or higher grade from 6 reviewers.